Myatt, William Loyola University Chicago

THEO 100–020 Intro Christian Theology Fall 2013



IDEA Diagnostic Form Report

To learn more, see the Interpretive Guide: www.theideacenter.org/diagnosticguide.pdf

Of the 38 students enrolled, 24 responded (63%). Feedback from individual classes is always useful to guide improvement efforts. Typically, multiple classes should be used for evaluation, using more classes when they are small (fewer than 10) or when they have low response rates (less than 60%) (see www.theideacenter.org/AdminDecisions).

Summary Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness

Teaching effectiveness is assessed in two ways: A. Progress on Relevant Objectives, a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted) and B. Overall Ratings, the average student agreement with statements that the teacher and the course were excellent. The SUMMARY EVALUATION is the average of these two measures. Individual institutions may prefer to combine these measures in some other manner to arrive at a summary judgment.

Converted Averages are standardized scores that take into account the fact that the average ratings for items on the IDEA form are not equal; students report more progress on some objectives than on others. Converted scores all have the same average (50) and the same variability (a standard deviation of 10); about 40% of them will be between 45 and 55. Because measures are not perfectly reliable, it is best to regard the "true score" as lying within plus or minus 3 of the reported score.

For comparative purposes, use converted averages. Your converted averages are compared with those from all classes in the IDEA database. If enough classes are available, comparisons are also made with classes in the same broad *discipline* as this class and/or with all classes that used IDEA at your *institution*. The *Interpretive Guide* offers some suggestions for using comparative results; some institutions may prefer to establish their own "standards" based on raw or adjusted scores rather than on comparative standing.

Both <u>unadjusted</u> (raw) and <u>adjusted</u> averages are reported. The latter makes classes more comparable by considering factors that influence student ratings, yet are beyond the instructor's control. Scores are adjusted to take into account student desire to take the course regardless of who taught it (item 39), student work habits (item 43), instructor reported class size, and two multiple item measures (student effort not attributable to the instructor and course difficulty not attributable to the instructor).

Your Average Scores

	Your A (5poin	verage t scale)
	Raw	Adj.
A. Progress on Relevant Objectives		
Seven objectives were selected as relevant (Important or Essential –see page 2)	4.3	4.3

Overall Ratings		
B. Excellent Teacher	4.8	4.8
C. Excellent Course	4.3	4.7
D. Average of B & C	4.6	4.8

Summary Evaluation (Average of A & D) 1	4.4	4.6
--	-----	-----

¹ If you are comparing Progress on Relevant Objectives from one instructor to another, use the converted average.

Your Converted Average When Compared to All Classes in the IDEA Database

	A Dra	A Brogress		Overail Ratings						Summary	
Comparison Category	A. Progress on Relevant Objectives		B. Excellent Teacher		C. Excellent Course		D. Average of B & C		Evaluation (Average of A & D)		
	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	
Much Higher Highest 10% (63 or higher)	:					63					
Higher Next 20%			59	59				61		60	
(56–62)	58	58			57		58		58		
Similar Middle 40% (45–55)											
Lower Next 20% (3844)											
Much Lower Lowest 10% (37 or lower)											

Your Converted Average When Compared to Your:2

Discipline (IDEA Data)	53	55	57	59	53	60	55	60	54	58
Institution	51	56	56	59	52	61	54	60	53	58

IDEA Discipline used for comparison:

Religion & Theological Studies

² The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.

Student Ratings of Learning on Relevant (Important and Essential) Objectives

Average unadjusted (raw) and adjusted progress ratings are shown below for those objectives you identified as "Important" or "Essential." **Progress on Relevant Objectives** (also shown on page 1) is a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted). The percent of students rating each as "1" or "2" (either "no" or "slight" progress) and as "4" or "5" ("substantial" or "exceptional" progress) is also reported. These results should help you identify objectives where improvement efforts might best be focused. Page 3 contains suggestions about the types of changes you might consider to obtain more satisfactory results. Also, refer to the **POD-IDEA Center Learning Notes** (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).

	Importance Rating		verage it scale)	Percent of Students Rating		
		Raw	Adj.	1 or 2	4 or 5	
Gaining factual knowledge (terminology, classifications, methods, trends)	Essential	4.3	4.4	4%	79%	
22. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or theories	Essential	4.3	4.4	0%	79%	
23. Learning to <i>apply</i> course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions)	Important	4.2	4.2	4%	83%	
 Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course 	Minor/None					
25. Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	Minor/None					
Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing, performing in art, music, drama, etc.)	Minor/None					
 Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural activity (music, science, literature, etc.) 	Important	4.2	4.1	8%	79%	
28. Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	Minor/None					
29. Learning how to find and use resources for answering questions or solving problems	Important	4.4	4.4	4%	88%	
Developing a clearer understanding of, and commitment to, personal values	Minor/None					
31. Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and points of view	Essential	4.3	4.2	4%	83%	
32. Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my own questions and seeking answers	Important	4.2	4.3	8%	83%	
Progress on Relevant Objectives		4.3	4.3			

¹ The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on
May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.

Your Converted Average When								
			roup Avera		1			
IDEA D		IDEA Dis	_	Your Ins				
Raw 57	Adjusted 59	Raw 51	Adjusted 55	Raw 51	Adjusted 56			
Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Higher			
58	60	53	56	51	56			
Higher	Higher	Similar	Higher	Similar	Higher			
54	55	50	53	47	52			
Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar			
				·				
57	56	55	56	55	60			
Higher	Higher	Similar	Higher	Similar	Higher			
62	62	60	60	54	57			
Higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	Higher			
58	56	54	53	51	54			
Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar			
57	59	52	55	49	55			
Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar			
58	58	53	55	51	56			

Much Higher = Highest 10% of classes (63 or higher)

Higher = Next 20% (56–62) Similar = Middle 40% (45–55) Lower = Next 20% (38–44)

Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Description of Course and Students

Students described the course by rating three items related to "level of academic challenge." Results cannot be interpreted as "good" or "bad"; in general, these ratings have a slight positive relationship with measures of academic achievement. The three items describing your students relate to their academic motivation and work habits and are key factors in developing adjusted ratings.

Course Description	Your Average (5-point scale)
33. Amount of reading	3.7
34. Amount of work in other (non-reading) assignments	3.1
35. Difficulty of subject matter	3.2

Student Description						
37. I worked harder on this course than on most courses I have taken.	3.3					
39. I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	2.6					
43. As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on academic work.	4.0					

Your Converted Average When Compared to Group Averages							
IDEA Database IDEA Discipline Your Institution							
57	Higher	50	Similar	57	Higher		
44	Lower	45	Similar	44	Lower		
46	Similar	45	Similar	48	Similar		

45	Similar	45	Similar	43	Lower
37	Much Lower	34	Much Lower	32	Much Lower
63	Much Higher	58	Higher	51	Similar

Much Higher = Highest 10% of classes (63 or higher)

Higher = Next 20% (56–62)
Similar = Middle 40% (45–55)
Lower = Next 20% (38–44)
Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Improving Teaching Effectiveness

Teaching Methods and Styles

One way to improve teaching effectiveness is to make more use of the teaching methods closely related to learning on specific objectives.

- Review page 2 to identify the objective(s) where improvements are most desirable.
- > Use the first column to answer the question, "Which of the 20 teaching methods are most related to learning on these objective(s)?"
- > Review the next two columns to answer the question, "How did students rate my use of these important methods?"
- > Read the last column to answer the question, "What changes should I consider in my teaching methods?"
- > Beyond specific methods, do the results suggest a general area (e.g., Stimulating Student Interest) where improvement efforts should be focused?

Suggested Actions are based on comparisons with ratings for classes of similar size and level of student motivation. Consider increasing use means you employed the method less frequently than those teaching similar classes. Retain current use or consider increasing means you employed the method with typical frequency. Strength to retain means you employed the method more frequently than those teaching similar classes. More detailed suggestions are in the Interpretive Guide (www.theideacenter.org/diagnosticguide.pdf), POD-IDEA Center Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea), and POD-IDEA Center Learning Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).

		1	
Relevant to Objectives: (see page 2)	Your Average (5-point scale)	Percent of Students Rating 4 or 5	Suggested Action
All selected objectives	4.4	88%	Strength to retain
All selected objectives	4.2	79%	Strength to retain
21, 22, 23, 27, 31, 32	4.7	92%	Strength to retain
21, 22, 23, 27, 31, 32	4.6	92%	Strength to retain
27, 31, 32	4.8	96%	Strength to retain
29, 32	4.5	83%	Strength to retain
Not relevant to objectives selected	4.4	79%	
All selected objectives	4.7	96%	Strength to retain
23, 27, 29, 31, 32	4.3	79%	Strength to retain
32	4.8	96%	Strength to retain
29	4.7	92%	Strength to retain
27, 29, 31, 32	4.3	79%	Strength to retain
29	4.6	92%	Strength to retain
29	4.0	63%	Strength to retain
Not relevant to objectives	4.5	92%	
selected	7.0		<u></u>
selected	7,0		
21, 22, 23, 27, 32	4.4	88%	Strength to retain
		88%	-
21, 22, 23, 27, 32 21, 22, 23, 27 21, 22	4.4		Strength to retain Strength to retain Strength to retain
21, 22, 23, 27, 32	4.4	92%	Strength to retain
	All selected objectives All selected objectives 21, 22, 23, 27, 31, 32 21, 22, 23, 27, 31, 32 27, 31, 32 29, 32 Not relevant to objectives selected All selected objectives 23, 27, 29, 31, 32 32 29 27, 29, 31, 32 29 29	(see page 2) (5-point scale) All selected objectives 4.4 All selected objectives 4.2 21, 22, 23, 27, 31, 32 4.6 27, 31, 32 4.8 29, 32 4.5 Not relevant to objectives selected 4.7 All selected objectives 4.7 23, 27, 29, 31, 32 4.8 29 4.6 29 4.6 Not relevant to objectives 4.7	Not relevant to Objectives (see page 2) Your Average (5-point scale) Students Rating 4 or 5

Statistical Detail		Number Responding						
	1	2	3	4	5	Omit	Avg.	s.đ.
Displayed a personal interest in students and their learning	0	1	0	2	21	0	4.8	0.7
2. Found ways to help students answer their own questions	0	1	0	4	19	0	4.7	0.7
3. Scheduled course work (class activities, tests, projects) in ways	0	0	2	5	17	0	4.6	0.6
4. Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter	0	0	2	3	19	0	4.7	0.6
5. Formed "teams" or "discussion groups" to facilitate learning	0	1	4	3	16	0	4.4	0.9
6. Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	1	0	2	6	15	0	4.4	1.0
7. Explained the reasons for criticisms of students' academic	1	0	4	5	14	0	4.3	1.0
8. Stirnulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by	0	1	2	7	14	0	4.4	8.0
9. Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g. data banks,	0	0	2	5	17	0	4.6	0.6
10. Explained course material clearly and concisely	0	1	1	4	18	0	4.6	8.0
11. Related course material to real life situations	0	1	1	6	16	0	4.5	8.0
12. Gave tests, projects, etc. that covered the most important points	0	1	0	0	23	0	4.9	0.6
13. Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	0	1	1	4	18	0	4.6	8.0
14. Involved students in "hands on" projects such as research, case	0	3	6	4	11	0	4.0	1.1
15. Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really	0	1	4	8	11	0	4.2	0.9
16. Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others	0	1	0	3	20	0	4.8	0.7
17. Provided timely and frequent feedback on tests, reports,	0	1	0	2	21	0	4.8	0.7
18. Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concepts	0	0	4	3	17	0	4.5	8.0
19. Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or	1	1	3	3	16	0	4.3	1.1
20. Encouraged student-faculty interaction outside of class (office	0	1	1	2	20	0	4.7	8.0
Key: 1 = Hardly Ever 2 = Occasionally 3 = Sometimes 4 = Free	quently	/ 5 =	Almos	t Alwa	ys			

The details on this page are of interest primarily to those who want to confirm scores reported on pages 1–3 or who want to determine if responses to some items were distributed in an unusual manner.

Converted Averages are reported only for relevant learning objectives (Important or Essential –see page 2) and other items for which comparisons were provided.

Notes:

Discipline code selected on FIF: 3900 Discipline code used for comparison: 3900

									Convert	Converted Avg.		rison Group	Average
									Raw	Adj.	IDEA	Discipline	Institution
21. Gaining factual knowledge (terminology,	0	1	4	5	14	0	4.3	0.9	57	59	4.0	4.3	4.3
22. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or	0	0	5	6	13	0	4.3	0.8	58	60	3.9	4.2	4.3
23. Learning to <i>apply</i> course material (to improve thinking,	1	0	3	9	11	0	4.2	1.0	54	55	4.0	4.2	4.4
24. Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view	1	0	3	8	12	0	4.3	1.0	NA	NA	4.0	4.2	4.4
25. Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	1	4	3	4	12	0	3.9	1.3	NA	NA	3.9	3.9	4.2
26. Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing,	0	3	5	5	11	0	4.0	1.1	NA	NA	3.9	3.7	NA
27. Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of	1	1	3	7	12	0	4.2	1.1	57	56	3.7	3.9	3.8
28. Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	1	2	3	6	12	0	4.1	1.2	NA	NA	3.8	3.9	4.1
29. Learning how to find and use resources for answering	1	0	2	6	15	0	4.4	1.0	62	62	3.7	3.9	4.2
30. Developing a clearer understanding of, and commitment to,	1	1	0	5	17	0	4.5	1.0	NA	NA	3.8	4.2	4.2
31. Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas,	1	0	3	7	13	0	4.3	1.0	58	56	3.8	4.1	4.2
32. Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my	2	0	2	8	12	0	4.2	1.2	57	59	3.8	4.1	4.2
Key: 1 = No apparent progress 2 = Slight progress 3 = Moderate prog	ress	4 = Sul	ostantia	al prog	ress 5	= Exc	eptional p	rogress	Bold :	= Selected	as Importan	t or Essential	
33. Amount of reading	0	2	8	9	5	0	3.7	0.9	57	NA .	3.2	3.7	3.2
34. Amount of work in other (non-reading) assignments	0	4	14	6	0	0	3.1	0.7	44	NA	3.4	3.3	3.4
35. Difficulty of subject matter	1	1	14	8	0	0	3.2	0.7	46	NA	3.4	3.4	3.3
Key: 1 = Much Less than Most 2 = Less than Most 3 = About Ave	rage	`4 = N	ore the	, an Mos	st 5:	= Much	More tha	n Most	•	•	ı	•	•
36. I had a strong desire to take this course.	3	2	7	8	4	0	3.3	1.2	NA	NA	3.7	3.8	4.0
37. I worked harder on this course than on most courses I have taken.	1	4	9	7	3	١٥	3.3	1.0	45	NA	3.6	3.5	3.7
38. I really wanted to take a course from this instructor.	1	3	6	4	10	0	3.8	1.3	NA	NA	3.4	3.7	3.6
39. I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	4	7	9	3	1	١٥	2.6	1.1	37	NA	3.3	3.3	3.7
40. As a result of taking this course, I have more positive feelings	2	0	4	7	10	1	4.0	1.2	52	58	3.9	4.1	4.3
41. Overall, I rate this instructor an excellent teacher.	1	0	0	2	21	0	4.8	0.8	59	59	4.2	4.4	4.3
42. Overall, I rate this course as excellent.	1	1	0	9	13	0	4.3	1.0	57	63	3.9	4.2	4.2
43. As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on	0	0	8	7	9	0	4.0	0.9	63	NA	3.6	3.8	4.0
Key: 1 = Definitely False 2 = More False than True 3 = In Betwee	n 4	= More	True t	han Fa	ilse	5 = De	i finitely Tr	ı ue	•	•	1	'	'

No Additional Questions.

December 13, 2013 3094

Myatt, William
THEO 100–020
Intro Christian Theology
Loyola University Chicago
11–23–2013 –12–07–2013



- Great professor who not only made relatively difficult material easy to understand but encouraged us to think about things we learned and how they relate to everyday life.
- I thoroughly enjoyed being in Dr. Myatt's class every week. Even though I had never taken a theology course before he taught it in a way that was easy to understand. You can tell he really wants us to learn the subject matter and that he cares a lot about it himself. He is a great teacher.
- His method of teaching is great, the quizzes help a lot in order to study for the major topics, its great to have to option of writing a paper to express what we've learned and get constructive feedback.
- Dr. Myatt is an excellent professor in his field of study. He had excellent knowledge and personally is understanding of his students. He definetly cares about his profession and communicating with students. Great guy, wish I could take more courses with him!
- Professor Myatt is a great instructor. I'm so glad I had the opportunity to be part of his class.
- Truly an amazing professor. He is a wonderful teacher and goes the extra mile to make you feel like more than just another face in the crowd. He is the only professor I've had who has learned my name and all of my classmates names. Definitely a cut above the rest. Would love to take another course with him at some point. All I can say to him is thank you.
- Awesome class and professor! Made everything easy to understand and made me think about the things we were learning in the course.
- Dr. Myatt is awesome. Best religion teacher I've ever had. Would take him again if I ever get the chance. Recommending him to all my friends.
- Dr. Myatt is the best. Pay him more money, he deserves it.
- I really enjoyed this teacher and this course! I was very lucky to have gotten this professor, and he is very cordial to all faiths and views on life which keeps the class interesting.
- He's very knowledgable on the subject. I appreciate his point of views on the church and it's teachings. We had some great and heated discussions.
- Needs to understand this is not a compulsory institution and criticisms should be professional and respectful. He his not a parental figure and his self righteous attitude is not appropriate at an academic institution. Also, he has to understand most of us are taking this because it is part of our core instruction not because we want to express our "feelings". He's a good guy and I respect his concern but lets keep it professional.
- Professor Myatt has been my favorite professor that I have had so far at Loyola University Chicago. He truly cares about his class and it shows. I would love to take another Theology course if he taught a tier II.

- Amazing class and one of the greatest theology professors I have had. Dr.Myatt was super helpful,understanding, and excited about the course which had me more interested.
- He was a good, well—engaged, interactive teacher. A lot of the material seemed liked trivial memory things more than concepts, but overall I enjoyed the course. I learned a lot of new things I never learned in catholic school.
- Great professor, would definitely want to take another course taught by him

Myatt, William Loyola University Chicago

THEO 100-026 Intro Christian Theology Fall 2013



IDEA Diagnostic Form Report

To learn more, see the Interpretive Guide: www.theideacenter.org/diagnosticguide.pdf

Of the 33 students enrolled, 21 responded (64%). Feedback from individual classes is always useful to guide improvement efforts. Typically, multiple classes should be used for evaluation, using more classes when they are small (fewer than 10) or when they have low response rates (less than 60%) (see www.theideacenter.org/AdminDecisions).

Summary Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness

Teaching effectiveness is assessed in two ways: A. Progress on Relevant Objectives, a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted) and B. Overall Ratings, the average student agreement with statements that the teacher and the course were excellent. The SUMMARY EVALUATION is the average of these two measures. Individual institutions may prefer to combine these measures in some other manner to arrive at a summary judgment.

Converted Averages are standardized scores that take into account the fact that the average ratings for items on the IDEA form are not equal; students report more progress on some objectives than on others. Converted scores all have the same average (50) and the same variability (a standard deviation of 10); about 40% of them will be between 45 and 55. Because measures are not perfectly reliable, it is best to regard the "true score" as lying within plus or minus 3 of the reported score.

For comparative purposes, use converted averages. Your converted averages are compared with those from all classes in the IDEA database. If enough classes are available, comparisons are also made with classes in the same broad *discipline* as this class and/or with all classes that used IDEA at your *institution*. The *Interpretive Guide* offers some suggestions for using comparative results; some institutions may prefer to establish their own "standards" based on raw or adjusted scores rather than on comparative standing.

Both <u>unadjusted</u> (raw) and <u>adjusted</u> averages are reported. The latter makes classes more comparable by considering factors that influence student ratings, yet are beyond the instructor's control. Scores are adjusted to take into account student desire to take the course regardless of who taught it (item 39), student work habits (item 43), instructor reported class size, and two multiple item measures (student effort not attributable to the instructor and course difficulty not attributable to the instructor).

Your Average Scores

	Your A (5-poin	
	Raw	Adj.
A. Progress on Relevant Objectives ¹		
Seven objectives were selected as relevant (Important or Essential –see page 2)	4.5	4.4

Overall Ratings		
B. Excellent Teacher	4.7	4.7
C. Excellent Course	4.5	4.5
D. Average of B & C	4.6	4.6

Summary Evaluation (Average of A & D)	4.6	4.5
(Average of A & D)		

¹If you are comparing Progress on Relevant Objectives from one instructor to another, use the converted average.

Your Converted Average When Compared to All Classes in the IDEA Database

	A D			(Overall	Ratings			Sumi	mary
Comparison Category	A. Progress on Relevant Objectives		8. Excellent Teacher		l	cellent urse		D. Average of B & C		ation age of D)
	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.
Much Higher Highest 10% (63 or higher)										
Higher Next 20% (56–62)	60	60	58	58	59	59	59	59	60	60
Similar Middle 40% (45–55)										
Lower Next 20% (38–44)				TOTAL STRUCTURE				-		
Much Lower Lowest 10% (37 or lower)										

Your Converted Average When Compared to Your:2

Tour Outverse	SU AVCI	age wii	CH COIL	ipai cu i	o rour.					
Discipline	57	57	56	57	55	56	56	57	57	57
(IDEA Data)										
Institution	54	57	55	57	54	58	55	58	55	58

IDEA Discipline used for comparison:

Religion & Theological Studies

²The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.

Student Ratings of Learning on Relevant (Important and Essential) Objectives

Average unadjusted (raw) and adjusted progress ratings are shown below for those objectives you identified as "Important" or "Essential." Progress on Relevant Objectives (also shown on page 1) is a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted). The percent of students rating each as "1" or "2" (either "no" or "slight" progress) and as "4" or "5" ("substantial" or "exceptional" progress) is also reported. These results should help you identify objectives where improvement efforts might best be focused. Page 3 contains suggestions about the types of changes you might consider to obtain more satisfactory results. Also, refer to the POD-IDEA Center Learning Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).

	Importance Rating		verage it scale)		ent of s Rating
		Raw	Adj.	1 or 2	4 or 5
Gaining factual knowledge (terminology, classifications, methods, trends)	Essential	4.5	4.4	5%	90%
22. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or theories	Essential	4.5	4.4	0%	90%
 Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions) 	Important	4.5	4.5	0%	95%
24. Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course	Minor/None				of by braid and opposite
Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	Minor/None				and the thirt have
Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing, performing in art, music, drama, etc.)	Minor/None		1		STATE OF THE STATE
 Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural activity (music, science, literature, etc.) 	Important	4.5	4.5	0%	95%
28. Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	Minor/None		A COUNTY AND A COU		A CONTROL OF THE CONT
29. Learning how to find and use resources for answering questions or solving problems	Important	4.4	4.4	5%	86%
Developing a clearer understanding of, and commitment to, personal values	Minor/None		The state of the s		-
31. Learning to <i>analyze</i> and <i>critically evaluate</i> ideas, arguments, and points of view	Essential	4.4	4.3	5%	86%
32. Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my own questions and seeking answers	Important	4.5	4.5	5%	95%
Progress on Relevant Objectives	······	4.5	4.4		

The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and	I Institution was modified on
May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date	э.

Your Converted Average When							
Compared to Group Averages IDEA Database							
IDEA D		IDEA Dis					
Raw	Adjusted	Raw 55	Adjusted	Raw 54	Adjusted		
60	58		54		55		
Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar		
61	60	57	56	54	56		
Higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	Higher		
60	60	58	59	52	56		
Higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	Higher		
61 Higher	61 Higher	62 Higher	63 Much Higher	60 Higher	65 Much Higher		
61	62	59	60	53	57		
Higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	Higher		
59	58	56	56	53	56		
Higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	Higher		
62 Higher	63 Much Higher	59 Higher	60 Higher	54 Similar	58 Higher		
60 Much Highe	60	57	57 s (63 or highe	54	57		

Higher = Next 20% (56-62) Similar = Middle 40% (45--55)

= Next 20% (38-44) Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower) Much Lower

Description of Course and Students

Students described the course by rating three items related to "level of academic challenge." Results cannot be interpreted as "good" or "bad"; in general, these ratings have a slight positive relationship with measures of academic achievement. The three items describing your students relate to their academic motivation and work habits and are key factors in developing adjusted ratings.

Course Description	Your Average (5-point scale)
33. Amount of reading	3.5
34. Amount of work in other (non-reading) assignments	3.1
35. Difficulty of subject matter	3.5

Student	Descrip	otion
---------	---------	-------

37. I worked harder on this course than on most courses I have taken.	3.6
39. I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	3.4
43. As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on academic work.	3.9

Your Converted Average When Compared to Group Averages										
IDE	A Database	IDE	A Discipline	You	r Institution					
54	Similar	45	Similar	54	Similar					
45	Similar	46	Similar	44	Lower					
51	Similar	51	Similar	53	Similar					

51	Similar	52	Similar	48	Similar
51	Similar	51	Similar	45	Similar
58	Higher	53	Similar	48	Similar

Much Higher = Highest 10% of classes (63 or higher)

Higher Similar

= Next 20% (56-62) = Middle 40% (45~55)

Lower = Next 20% (38-44)

Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Improving Teaching Effectiveness

One way to improve teaching effectiveness is to make more use of the teaching methods closely related to learning on specific objectives.

Review page 2 to identify the objective(s) where improvements are most desirable.

<u>5-point Scale</u>: 1 = Hardly Ever 2 = Occasionally 3 = Sometimes 4 = Frequently 5 = Almost Always

- > Use the first column to answer the question, "Which of the 20 teaching methods are most related to learning on these objective(s)?"
- Review the next two columns to answer the question, "How did students rate my use of these important methods?"
- Page Read the last column to answer the question, "What changes should I consider in my teaching methods?"
- > Beyond specific methods, do the results suggest a general area (e.g., Stimulating Student Interest) where improvement efforts should be focused?

Suggested Actions are based on comparisons with ratings for classes of similar size and level of student motivation. Consider increasing use means you employed the method less frequently than those teaching similar classes. Retain current use or consider increasing means you employed the method with typical frequency. Strength to retain means you employed the method more frequently than those teaching similar classes. More detailed suggestions are in the Interpretive Guide (www.theideacenter.org/diagnosticguide.pdf), POD-IDEA Center Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea), and POD-IDEA Center Learning Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).

(www.nigdeacenter.org/podidear-Optrotesceaming.html).				
Teaching Methods and Styles				
Stimulating Student Interest	Relevant to Objectives: (see page 2)	Your Average (5-point scale)	Percent of Students Rating 4 or 5	Suggested Action
Stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by most courses	All selected objectives	4.6	90%	Strength to retain
13. Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	All selected objectives	4.9	100%	Strength to retain
 Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really challenged them 	All selected objectives	4.6	95%	Strength to retain
4. Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter	21, 22, 23, 32	5.0	100%	Strength to retai
Fostering Student Collaboration				
18. Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concepts	29, 31, 32	4.8	90%	Strength to retail
16. Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others whose backgrounds and viewpoints differ from their own	27, 31	4.9	100%	Strength to retain
5. Formed "teams" or "discussion groups" to facilitate learning	Not relevant to objectives selected	4.2	71%	
Establishing Rapport				
2. Found ways to help students answer their own questions	All selected objectives	4.5	95%	Strength to retain
7. Explained the reasons for criticisms of students' academic performance	23, 27, 29, 31, 32	4.5	86%	Strength to retail
1. Displayed a personal interest in students and their learning	23, 32	5.0	100%	Strength to retain
 Encouraged student-faculty interaction outside of class (office visits, phone calls, e-mails, etc.) 	29	4.7	90%	Strength to retain
Encouraging Student Involvement		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	***************************************	
 Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or creative thinking 	27, 29, 31	4.7	95%	Strength to retain
Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g. data banks, library holdings, outside experts) to improve understanding	29	5.0	100%	Strength to retain
11. Related course material to real life situations	23	5.0	100%	Strength to retain
14. Involved students in "hands on" projects such as research, case studies, or "real life" activities	29	4.2	76%	Strength to retain
Structuring Classroom Experiences				
6. Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	21, 22, 23, 27, 32	4.7	95%	Strength to retain
10. Explained course material clearly and concisely	21, 22, 23, 27	4.9	95%	Strength to retain
Gave tests, projects, etc. that covered the most important points of the course	21, 22	4.9	95%	Strength to retain
Scheduled course work (class activities, tests, projects) in ways which encouraged students to stay up-to-date in their work	Not relevant to objectives selected	4.8	100%	
17. Provided timely and frequent feedback on tests, reports, projects, etc. to help students improve	Not relevant to objectives selected	4.9	100%	

Statistical Detail		Num	ber R	espon	ding			
	1	2	3	4	5	Omit	Avg.	s.d.
Displayed a personal interest in students and their learning	0	0	0	1	20	0	5.0	0.2
2. Found ways to help students answer their own questions	0	1	0	7	13	0	4.5	0.7
3. Scheduled course work (class activities, tests, projects) in ways	0	0	0	4	17	0	4.8	0.4
4. Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter	0	0	0	1	20	0	5.0	0.2
5. Formed "teams" or "discussion groups" to facilitate learning	1	1	4	2	13	0	4.2	1.2
6. Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	0	0	1	5	15	0	4.7	0.6
7. Explained the reasons for criticisms of students' academic	0	1	2	3	15	0	4.5	0.9
8. Stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by	0	0	2	5	14	0	4.6	0.7
9. Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g. data banks,	0	0	0	1	20	0	5.0	0.2
10. Explained course material clearly and concisely	0	0	1	1	19	0	4.9	0.5
11. Related course material to real life situations	0	0	0	1	20	0	5.0	0.2
12. Gave tests, projects, etc. that covered the most important points	0	1	0	0	20	0	4.9	0.7
13. Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	0	0	0	2	19	0	4.9	0.3
14. Involved students in "hands on" projects such as research, case	0	2	3	4	12	0	4.2	1.0
15. Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really	0	0	1	7	13	0	4.6	0.6
16. Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others	0	0	0	2	19	0	4.9	0.3
17. Provided timely and frequent feedback on tests, reports,	0	0	0	3	18	0	4.9	0.4
18. Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concepts	0	0	2	1	17	1	4.8	0.6
19. Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or	0	1	0	3	17	0	4.7	0.7
20. Encouraged student-faculty interaction outside of class (office	0	0	2	3	16	0	4.7	0.7
Key: 1 = Hardly Ever 2 = Occasionally 3 = Sometimes 4 = Fre	quently	/ 5=	Almo	st Alwa	ys			

The details on this page are of interest primarily to those who want to confirm scores reported on pages 1–3 or who want to determine if responses to some items were distributed in an unusual manner.

Converted Averages are reported only for relevant learning objectives (Important or Essential –see page 2) and other items for which comparisons were provided.

Notes:

Discipline code selected on FIF: 3900 Discipline code used for comparison: 3900

									Converted Avg.		Comparison Group Average			
									Raw	Adj.	IDEA	Discipline	Institution	
21. Gaining factual knowledge (terminology,	0	1	1	6	13	0	4.5	0.8	60	58	4.0	4.3	4.3	
22. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or	0	0	2	7	12	0	4.5	0.7	61	60	3.9	4.2	4.3	
23. Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking,	0	0	1	8	12	0	4.5	0.6	60	60	4.0	4.2	4.4	
24. Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view	0	0	2	6	13	0	4.5	0.7	NA	NA	4.0	4.2	4.4	
25. Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	2	0	3	4	12	0	4.1	1.3	NA	NA	3.9	3.9	4.2	
26. Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing,	0	1	2	5	13	0	4.4	0.9	NA	NA	3.9	3.7	NA	
27. Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of	0	0	1	8	12	0	4.5	0.6	61	61	3.7	3.9	3.8	
28. Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	0	2	3	7	9	0	4.1	1.0	NA	NA	3.8	3.9	4.1	
29. Learning how to find and use resources for answering	0	1	2	6	12	0	4.4	0.9	61	62	3.7	3.9	4.2	
30. Developing a clearer understanding of, and commitment to,	0	0	2	6	13	0	4.5	0.7	NA	NA	3.8	4,2	4.2	
31. Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas,	0	1	2	6	12	0	4.4	0.9	59	58	3.8	4.1	4.2	
32. Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my		1	0	8	12	0	4.5	0.7	62	63	3.8	4.1	4.2	
Key: 1 = No apparent progress 2 = Slight progress 3 = Moderate prog	ress ·	4 = Sut	ostanti:	al prog	ress 5	= Exc	eptional p	rogress	Bold :	= Selected	as Importan	t or Essential		
				,					•			T		
33. Amount of reading	0	1	11	7	2	0	3.5	0.7	54	NA	3.2	3.7	3.2	
34. Amount of work in other (non-reading) assignments	1	3	12	3	2	0	3.1	0.9	45	NA	3.4	3.3	3.4	
35. Difficulty of subject matter	0	1	11	7	2	0	3.5	0.7	51	NA	3.4	3.4	3.3	
Key: 1 = Much Less than Most 2 = Less than Most 3 = About Ave	rage	4 = N	, lore th	an Mos	st 5:	= Much	More tha	ın Most		-				
36. I had a strong desire to take this course.	1	2	8	3	7	0	3.6	1.2	NA	NA	3.7	3.8	4.0	
37. I worked harder on this course than on most courses I have taken.	0	3	6	8	4	0	3.6	1.0	51	NA	3.6	3.5	3.7	
38. Freally wanted to take a course from this instructor.	4	0	7	3	7	0	3.4	1.5	NA NA	NA	3.4	3.7	3.6	
39. I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	3	2	6	4	6	0	3.4	1.4	51	NA	3.3	3.3	3.7	
40. As a result of taking this course, I have more positive feelings	0	0	4	2	15	0	4.5	0.8	61	62	3.9	4.1	4.3	
41. Overall. I rate this instructor an excellent teacher.	0	0	1	4	16	0	4.7	0.6	58	58	4.2	4.4	4.3	
42. Overall, I rate this course as excellent.	0	ő	4	3	13	1	4.5	0.8	59	59	3.9	4.2	4.2	
43. As a rule. I put forth more effort than other students on		1	6	8	6	0	3.9	0.9	58	NA	3.6	3.8	4.0	
Key: 1 = Definitely False 2 = More False than True 3 = In Betwee	0 an 4	- Mer	e True	-			finitely Ti		•	•	'	•	•	

No Additional Questions.

IDEA Student Ratings of Instruction

Myatt, William THEO 100–026 Intro Christian Theology Loyola University Chicago 11–23–2013 –12–07–2013



- A very passionate teacher, truly cares for his students and tries to ensure success for every student.
- awesome teacher!
- Dr. Myatt, you are awesome. My favorite teacher by far this semester. Honestly, I was dreading having to take a course in Christian theology but you made it very interesting and more like a religious philosophy class. I love that! Also thank you for all of your wonderful feedback on papers, etc. Take care!
- I loved Dr. Myatt. Even though i did not excel, he put me in a great position to excel. Not only did i learn a lot about myself but about the subject matter in a modern environment.
- I believe Dr. Myatt did a great job in teaching this course. I really have no complaints because he was open for questions outside of class if I needed clarification. He utilized quizzes, tests, participation, facebook, and essays to fit every style of learning. He did a wonderful job —> one thing I would do is see if he can make the class more interesting. He has exceeded expectations —> I want him to double exceed! That's a good challenge, let's see what can happen!

		e ⁿ y

Myatt, William Loyola University Chicago

THEO 100-025 Intro Christian Theology Fall 2013



IDEA Diagnostic Form Report

To learn more, see the Interpretive Guide: www.theideacenter.org/diagnosticguide.pdf

Of the 34 students enrolled, 16 responded (47%). Feedback from individual classes is always useful to guide improvement efforts. Typically, multiple classes should be used for evaluation, using more classes when they are small (fewer than 10) or when they have low response rates (less than 60%) (see www.theideacenter.org/AdminDecisions).

Summary Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness

Teaching effectiveness is assessed in two ways: A. Progress on Relevant Objectives, a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted) and B. Overall Ratings, the average student agreement with statements that the teacher and the course were excellent. The SUMMARY EVALUATION is the average of these two measures. Individual institutions may prefer to combine these measures in some other manner to arrive at a summary judgment.

Converted Averages are standardized scores that take into account the fact that the average ratings for items on the IDEA form are not equal; students report more progress on some objectives than on others. Converted scores all have the same average (50) and the same variability (a standard deviation of 10); about 40% of them will be between 45 and 55. Because measures are not perfectly reliable, it is best to regard the "true score" as lying within plus or minus 3 of the reported score.

For comparative purposes, use converted averages. Your converted averages are compared with those from all classes in the IDEA database. If enough classes are available, comparisons are also made with classes in the same broad discipline as this class and/or with all classes that used IDEA at your institution. The Interpretive Guide offers some suggestions for using comparative results; some institutions may prefer to establish their own "standards" based on raw or adjusted scores rather than on comparative standing.

Both <u>unadjusted</u> (raw) and <u>adjusted</u> averages are reported. The latter makes classes more comparable by considering factors that influence student ratings, yet are beyond the instructor's control. Scores are adjusted to take into account student desire to take the course regardless of who taught it (item 39), student work habits (item 43), instructor reported class size, and two multiple item measures (student effort not attributable to the instructor and course difficulty not attributable to the instructor).

Your Average Scores

	Your A (5poin	verage t scale)
	Raw	Adj.
A. Progress on Relevant Objectives ¹		
Seven objectives were selected as relevant (Important or Essential –see page 2)	4.5	4.4

Overall Ratings		
B. Excellent Teacher	4.8	4.6
C. Excellent Course	4.6	4.5
D. Average of B & C	4.7	4.6

Summary Evaluation (Average of A & D) 1	4.6	4.5
--	-----	-----

¹ If you are comparing Progress on Relevant Objectives from one instructor to another, use the converted average.

Your Converted Average When Compared to All Classes in the IDEA Database

	A. Progress			(Summary Evaluation (Average of A & D)				
Comparison Category	on Re	levant ctives		B. Excellent C. Excellent D. Average of B & C						
	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.
Much Higher Highest 10% (63 or higher)										
Higher Next 20% (56–62)	61	59	59	57	62	60	61	59	61	59
Similar Middle 40% (45–55)										
Lower Next 20% (38–44)										
Much Lower Lowest 10% (37 or lower)										

Your Converted Average When Compared to Your:2

Discipline (IDEA Data)	57	57	57	55	59	56	58	56	58	57
Institution	54	57	56	56	56	59	56	58	55	58

IDEA Discipline used for comparison:

Religion & Theological Studies

² The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.

Student Ratings of Learning on Relevant (Important and Essential) Objectives

Average unadjusted (raw) and adjusted progress ratings are shown below for those objectives you identified as "Important" or "Essential." Progress on Relevant Objectives (also shown on page 1) is a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted). The percent of students rating each as "1" or "2" (either "no" or "slight" progress) and as "4" or "5" ("substantial" or "exceptional" progress) is also reported. These results should help you identify objectives where improvement efforts might best be focused. Page 3 contains suggestions about the types of changes you might consider to obtain more satisfactory results. Also, refer to the POD-IDEA Center Learning Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).

	Importance Rating	verage it scale)	1	ent of s Rating	
		Raw	Adj.	1 or 2	4 or 5
Gaining factual knowledge (terminology, classifications, methods, trends)	Essential	4.4	4.4	0%	81%
22. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or theories	Essential	4.6	4.5	0%	88%
23. Learning to <i>apply</i> course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions)	Important	4.4	4.3	0%	88%
 Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course 	Minor/None				A construction of the cons
Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	Minor/None				
Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing, performing in art, music, drama, etc.)	Minor/None				
Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural activity (music, science, literature, etc.)	Important	4.4	4.2	6%	81%
28. Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	Minor/None				
29. Learning how to find and use resources for answering questions or solving problems	Important	4.3	4.2	7%	80%
Developing a clearer understanding of, and commitment to, personal values	Minor/None				
31. Learning to <i>analyze</i> and <i>critically evaluate</i> ideas, arguments, and points of view	Essential	4.4	4.3	6%	88%
Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my own questions and seeking answers	Important	4.6	4.6	0%	88%
Progress on Relevant Objectives		4.5	4.4		···-·

¹ The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on
May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.

Your Converted Average When Compared to Group Averages												
IDEAD	Com atabase	pared to G		ages Your ins	titution ¹							
Raw	Adjusted	Raw	Adjusted	Raw	Adjusted							
59	58	54	54	53	55							
Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar							
63 Much Higher	62 Higher	55 Similar	58 Higher									
59	56	56	55	51	54							
Higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	Similar							
59 Higher	57 Higher	59 Higher	57 Higher	58 Higher	61 Higher							
60	59	58	58	53	55							
Higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar							
60	58	57	56	54	55							
Higher	Higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar							
64 Much Higher	64 Much Higher	61 Higher	61 Higher	55 Similar	59 Higher							
61	59	57	57	54	57							

Higher = Next 20% (56-62)

Similar = Middle 40% (45-55) = Next 20% (38-44) Lower

Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Description of Course and Students

Students described the course by rating three items related to "level of academic challenge." Results cannot be interpreted as "good" or "bad"; in general, these ratings have a slight positive relationship with measures of academic achievement. The three items describing your students relate to their academic motivation and work habits and are key factors in developing adjusted ratings.

Course Description	Your Average (5-point scale)
33. Amount of reading	3.5
34. Amount of work in other (non-reading) assignments	2.9
35. Difficulty of subject matter	2.9

Studen	t D	esci	rip	oti	on

37. I worked harder on this course than on most courses I have taken.	3.4
39. I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	3.6
43. As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on academic work.	3.8

	Your Converted Average When Compared to Group Averages											
IDE/	A Database	IDE#	A Discipline	Your Institution								
54	Similar	46	Similar	54	Similar							
42	Lower	42	42 Lower		2 Lower		Lower					
42	Lower	39	Lower	43	Lower							

48	Similar	48	Similar	46	Similar
54	Similar	55	Similar	48	Similar
56	Higher	50	Similar	45	Similar
34	Calara 1 Calara	1001 -1-1	(ng l-!-l	. 1	

= Highest 10% of classes (63 or higher) Much Higher

= Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Higher

Much Lower

= Next 20% (56-62)

Similar = Middle 40% (45-55) = Next 20% (38-44) Lower

Improving Teaching Effectiveness

One way to improve teaching effectiveness is to make more use of the teaching methods closely related to learning on specific objectives.

- Review page 2 to identify the objective(s) where improvements are most desirable.
- > Use the first column to answer the question, "Which of the 20 teaching methods are most related to learning on these objective(s)?"
- > Review the next two columns to answer the question, "How did students rate my use of these important methods?"
- Read the last column to answer the question, "What changes should I consider in my teaching methods?"
- Beyond specific methods, do the results suggest a general area (e.g., Stimulating Student Interest) where improvement efforts should be focused?

Suggested Actions are based on comparisons with ratings for classes of similar size and level of student motivation. Consider increasing use means you employed the method less frequently than those teaching similar classes. Retain current use or consider increasing means you employed the method with typical frequency. Strength to retain means you employed the method more frequently than those teaching similar classes. More detailed suggestions are in the Interpretive Guide (www.theideacenter.org/diagnosticguide.pdf), POD-IDEA Center Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea), and POD-IDEA Center Learning Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).

Teaching Methods and Styles			TATE	
Stimulating Student Interest	Relevant to Objectives: (see page 2)	Your Average (5-point scale)	Percent of Students Rating 4 or 5	Suggested Action
Stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by most courses	All selected objectives	4.4	81%	Strength to retain
13. Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	All selected objectives	4.7	88%	Strength to retain
15. Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really challenged them	All selected objectives	4.5	81%	Strength to retain
Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter	21, 22, 23, 32	4.8	94%	Strength to retain
Fostering Student Collaboration				
18. Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concepts	29, 31, 32	4.6	88%	Strength to retain
16. Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others whose backgrounds and viewpoints differ from their own	27, 31	4.7	94%	Strength to retain
5. Formed "teams" or "discussion groups" to facilitate learning	Not relevant to objectives selected	4.5	81%	
Establishing Rapport				
2. Found ways to help students answer their own questions	All selected objectives	4.6	94%	Strength to retain
7. Explained the reasons for criticisms of students' academic performance	23, 27, 29, 31, 32	4.4	88%	Strength to retain
Displayed a personal interest in students and their learning	23, 32	4.7	94%	Strength to retain
20. Encouraged student-faculty interaction outside of class (office visits, phone calls, e-mails, etc.)	29	4.3	75%	Strength to retain
Encouraging Student Involvement				
19. Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or creative thinking	27, 29, 31	4.6	94%	Strength to retain
Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g. data banks, library holdings, outside experts) to improve understanding	29	4.7	94%	Strength to retain
11. Related course material to real life situations	23	4.7	94%	Strength to retain
14. Involved students in "hands on" projects such as research, case studies, or "real life" activities	29	4.4	81%	Strength to retain
Structuring Classroom Experiences				
6. Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	21, 22, 23, 27, 32	4.6	94%	Strength to retain
10. Explained course material clearly and concisely	21, 22, 23, 27	4.7	94%	Strength to retain
12. Gave tests, projects, etc. that covered the most important points of the course	21, 22	4.8	94%	Strength to retain
Scheduled course work (class activities, tests, projects) in ways which encouraged students to stay up-to-date in their work	Not relevant to objectives selected	4.8	100%	
17. Provided timely and frequent feedback on tests, reports, projects, etc. to help students improve	Not relevant to objectives selected	4.6	94%	
<u>5-point Scale</u> : $1 = \text{Hardly Ever}$ $2 = \text{Occasionally}$ $3 = \text{Sometimes}$ $4 = \text{Freq}$	uently 5 = Almost Always			

Statistical Detail	Number Responding							
	1	2	3	4	5	Omit	Avg.	s.d.
t. Displayed a personal interest in students and their learning	0	1	0	2	13	0	4.7	0.8
2. Found ways to help students answer their own questions	0	1	0	4	11	0	4.6	0.8
3. Scheduled course work (class activities, tests, projects) in ways	0	0	0	3	13	0	4.8	0.4
4. Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter	0	0	1	2	13	0	4.8	0.6
5. Formed "teams" or "discussion groups" to facilitate learning	0	1	2	1	12	0	4.5	1.0
6. Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	0	1	0	3	12	0	4.6	0.8
7. Explained the reasons for criticisms of students' academic	0	1	1	4	10	0	4.4	0.9
8. Stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by	0	0	3	3	10	0	4.4	0.8
9. Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g. data banks,	0	1	0	2	13	0	4.7	0.8
10. Explained course material clearly and concisely	0	0	1	3	12	0	4.7	0.6
11. Related course material to real life situations	0	0	1	3	12	0	4.7	0.6
12. Gave tests, projects, etc. that covered the most important points	0	0	1	1	14	0	4.8	0.5
13. Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	0	0	2	1	13	0	4.7	0.7
14. Involved students in "hands on" projects such as research, case	0	1	2	2	11	0	4.4	1.0
15. Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really	0	0	3	2	11	0	4.5	0.8
16. Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others	0	0	1	3	12	0	4.7	0.6
17. Provided timely and frequent feedback on tests, reports,	0	0	1	5	10	0	4.6	0.6
18. Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concepts	0	0	2	3	11	0	4.6	0.7
19. Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or	0	0	1	4	11	0	4.6	0.6
20. Encouraged student-faculty interaction outside of class (office	0	1	3	2	10	0	4.3	1.0
Key: 1 - Hardly Ever 2 - Occasionally 3 - Sometimes 4 - Fre	nuantly	. 5-	· Almos	t Ahman	ue.			•

The details on this page are of interest primarily to those who want to confirm scores reported on pages 1–3 or who want to determine if responses to some items were distributed in an unusual manner.

Converted Averages are reported only for relevant learning objectives (Important or Essential –see page 2) and other items for which comparisons were provided.

Notes:

Discipline code selected on FIF: 3900 Discipline code used for comparison: 3900

									Convert	ed Ava.	Compa	rison Group	Average
									Raw	Adj.	IDEA	Discipline	Institution
21. Gaining factual knowledge (terminology,	0	0	3	3	10	0	4.4	0.8	59	58	4.0	4.3	4.3
22. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or	0	0	2	3	11	0	4.6	0.7	63	62	3.9	4.2	4.3
23. Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking,	0	0	2	5	9	0	4.4	0.7	59	56	4.0	4.2	4.4
24. Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view	0	2	2	3	9	0	4.2	1.1	NA	NA	4.0	4.2	4.4
25. Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	1	1	2	4	8	0	4.1	1.2	NA	NA	3.9	3.9	4.2
26. Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing,	1	1	1	4	9	0	4.2	1.2	NA	NA	3.9	3.7	NA
27. Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of	0	1	2	3	10	0	4.4	1.0	59	57	3.7	3.9	3.8
28. Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	0	1	3	2	10	0	4.3	1.0	NA	NA	3.8	3.9	4.1
29. Learning how to find and use resources for answering	1	0	2	2	10	1	4.3	1.2	60	59	3.7	3.9	4.2
30. Developing a clearer understanding of, and commitment to,	0	0	2	3	11	0	4.6	0.7	NA	NA	3.8	4.2	4.2
31. Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas,	0	1	1	4	10	0	4.4	0.9	60	58	3.8	4.1	4.2
32. Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my	0	0	2	3	11	0	4.6	0.7	64	64	3.8	4.1	4.2
Key: 1 = No apparent progress 2 = Slight progress 3 = Moderate prog	ress ·	4 = Şut	estantía	al progi	ress 5	i=Exc	eptional p	rogress	Bold :	= Selected	as Importan	t or Essential	
33. Amount of reading	0	0	10	4	2	0	3.5	0.7	54	NA	3.2	3.7	3.2
34. Amount of work in other (non-reading) assignments	0	3	10	2	0	1	2.9	0.6	42	NA	3.4	3.3	3.4
35. Difficulty of subject matter	1	2	10	3	0	0	2.9	0.8	42	NA	3.4	3.4	3.3
Key: 1 = Much Less than Most 2 = Less than Most 3 = About Ave	rage	4 = N	lore tha	an Mos	t 5	= Much	More tha	n Most	•	•		•	•
36. I had a strong desire to take this course.	3	1	3	2	7	0	3.6	1.6	NA	NA	3.7	3.8	4.0
37. I worked harder on this course than on most courses I have taken.	0	5	4	2	5	0	3.4	1.3	48	NA	3.6	3.5	3.7
38. I really wanted to take a course from this instructor.	1	1	6	5	3	0	3.5	1.1	NA	NA	3.4	3.7	3.6
39. I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	2	1	4	4	5	0	3.6	1.4	54	NA	3.3	3.3	3.7
40. As a result of taking this course, I have more positive feelings	0	0	3	4	9	0	4.4	0.8	59	55	3.9	4.1	4.3
41. Overall, I rate this instructor an excellent teacher.	0	0	1	2	13	0	4.8	0.6	59	57	4.2	4.4	4.3
42. Overall, I rate this course as excellent.	0	0	1	4	11	0	4.6	0.6	62	60	3.9	4.2	4.2
43. As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on	0	0	8	3	5	0	3.8	0.9	56	NA	3.6	3.8	4.0
Key: 1 = Definitely False 2 = More False than True 3 = In Betwee	n 4	= More	True 1	han Fa	ilse	5 = De	' finitely Tr	ue	•	•	•	•	•

No Additional Questions.

December 13, 2013 3099

Myatt, William
THEO 100–025
Intro Christian Theology
Loyola University Chicago
11–23–2013 – 12–07–2013



- Mr. Myatt, is a great professor, he made this class enjoyable and easy to understand. I really hope to take another class with him as a professor. Thanks for making class fun Mr. Myatt, your family is so cute.
- Excellent teacher!
- I really enjoyed this class and this professor. Dr. Myatt did a great job of clearly explaining everything and incorporated different things into his teaching that were very helpful. I think he did a good job of teaching all the aspects of Christian Theology very objectively. I also really enjoyed the books we read in the class.
- Great course. I learned a lot and had so much fun doing it. Dr. Myatt really knows what he is doing.
- Great class over all! Really enjoyed taking this course.
- This class was amazing. I learned a lot from the instructor. Myatt is extremely knowledgeable about what he is teaching but doesn't hesitate to incorporate a personal touch. The class was not bad at all. There was an average of 2 real exams and pop quizzes with Augustine. As long as you read the material before hand and follow along with his power points, the class is an easy A. Plus he gives out a ton of extra credit opportunities, so take advantage of them.
- Dr. Mytt is the best!
- Dr. Myatt is one of the best teachers that I have ever had. He opened my eyes to many new ideas that I had never considered. I also learned more about theology than I had previously known. He is extremely engaged with the students and is always trying out new ways for the students to learn. This is one of the best classes that I have ever taken in my life.
- Professor Myatt is a very personable instructor, and it was very easy to learn from him. He made the subject matter approachable and actually fun to learn, even though I dreaded this course.

	N. Carterina de la carterina d	

Myatt, William Loyola University Chicago

THEO 100-027 Intro Christian Theology Fall 2013



IDEA Diagnostic Form Report

To learn more, see the Interpretive Guide: www.theideacenter.org/diagnosticguide.pdf

Of the 34 students enrolled, 21 responded (62%). Feedback from individual classes is always useful to guide improvement efforts. Typically, multiple classes should be used for evaluation, using more classes when they are small (fewer than 10) or when they have low response rates (less than 60%) (see www.theideacenter.org/AdminDecisions).

Summary Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness

Teaching effectiveness is assessed in two ways: A. Progress on Relevant Objectives, a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted) and B. Overall Ratings, the average student agreement with statements that the teacher and the course were excellent. The SUMMARY EVALUATION is the average of these two measures. Individual institutions may prefer to combine these measures in some other manner to arrive at a summary judgment.

<u>Converted Averages</u> are standardized scores that take into account the fact that the average ratings for items on the IDEA form are not equal; students report more progress on some objectives than on others. Converted scores all have the same average (50) and the same variability (a standard deviation of 10); about 40% of them will be between 45 and 55. Because measures are not perfectly reliable, it is best to regard the "true score" as lying within plus or minus 3 of the reported score.

For comparative purposes, use converted averages. Your converted averages are compared with those from all classes in the IDEA database. If enough classes are available, comparisons are also made with classes in the same broad discipline as this class and/or with all classes that used IDEA at your institution. The Interpretive Guide offers some suggestions for using comparative results; some institutions may prefer to establish their own "standards" based on raw or adjusted scores rather than on comparative standing.

Both <u>unadjusted</u> (raw) and <u>adjusted</u> averages are reported. The latter makes classes more comparable by considering factors that influence student ratings, yet are beyond the instructor's control. Scores are adjusted to take into account student desire to take the course regardless of who taught it (item 39), student work habits (item 43), instructor reported class size, and two multiple item measures (student effort not attributable to the instructor and course difficulty not attributable to the instructor).

Your Average Scores

		verage t scale)
	Raw	Adj.
A. Progress on Relevant Objectives ¹		
Seven objectives were selected as		
relevant (Important or Essential -see page 2)	4.5	4.6

Overall Ratings		
B. Excellent Teacher	4.8	4.9
C. Excellent Course	4.3	4.5
D. Average of B & C	4.6	4.7

- 1	Summary Evaluation Average of A & D) ¹	4.6	4.7	

If you are comparing Progress on Relevant Objectives from one instructor to another, use the converted average.

Your Converted Average When Compared to All Classes in the IDEA Database

	A. Progress on Relevant Objectives			Overall Ratings						Summary	
Comparison Category			B. Excellent Teacher		C. Excellent Course		D. Average of B & C		Evaluation (Average of A & D)		
	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	Raw	Adj.	
Much Higher Highest 10% (63 or higher)		63									
Higher Next 20% (56–62)	60		60	61	56	59	58	60	59	62	
Similar Middle 40% (45–55)											
Lower Next 20% (38–44)											
Much Lower Lowest 10% (37 or lower)											

Your Converted Average When Compared to Your:2

				· paroa						
Discipline (IDEA Data)	57	61	58	61	52	56	55	59	56	60
Institution	54	60	57	60	51	58	54	59	54	60

IDEA Discipline used for comparison:

Religion & Theological Studies

² The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified on May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.

Student Ratings of Learning on Relevant (Important and Essential) Objectives

Average unadjusted (raw) and adjusted progress ratings are shown below for those objectives you identified as "Important" or "Essential." **Progress on Relevant Objectives** (also shown on page 1) is a weighted average of student ratings of the progress they reported on objectives selected as "Important" or "Essential" (double weighted). The percent of students rating each as "1" or "2" (either "no" or "slight" progress) and as "4" or "5" ("substantial" or "exceptional" progress) is also reported. These results should help you identify objectives where improvement efforts might best be focused. Page 3 contains suggestions about the types of changes you might consider to obtain more satisfactory results. Also, refer to the **POD-IDEA Center Learning Notes** (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).

	Importance Rating		verage t scale)		
	-	Raw	Adj.	1 or 2	4 or 5
21. Gaining factual knowledge (terminology, classifications, methods, trends)	Essential	4.6	4.7	0%	90%
22. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or theories	Essential	4.7	4.8	0%	95%
 Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions) 	Essential	4.5	4.6	5%	90%
Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course	Minor/None				
 Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team 	Minor/None				-
 Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing, performing in art, music, drama, etc.) 	Minor/None				
Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of intellectual/cultural activity (music, science, literature, etc.)	Important	4.1	4.2	5%	76%
28. Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	Minor/None				
29. Learning how to find and use resources for answering questions or solving problems	Important	4.4	4.6	5%	86%
30. Developing a clearer understanding of, and commitment to, personal values	Minor/None				
 Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas, arguments, and points of view 	Essential	4.3	4.4	5%	81%
32. Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my own questions and seeking answers	Important	4.3	4.6	5%	86%
Progress on Relevant Objectives		4.5	4.6		4

¹ The process for computing Progress on Relevant Objectives for the Discipline and Institution was modified	on
May 1, 2006. Do not compare these results with reports generated prior to this date.	

Your Converted Average When Compared to Group Averages								
IDEA D	atabase	IDEA Dis		Your Ins	titution			
Raw	Adjusted	Raw	Adjusted	Raw	Adjusted			
61 Higher	64 Much Higher	57 Higher	61 Higher	55 Similar	60 Higher			
65 Much Higher	68 Much Higher	62 Higher	66 Much Higher	58 Higher	62 Higher			
60 Higher	63 Much Higher	57 Higher	62 Higher	52 Similar	59 Higher			

56 Higher	57 Higher	53 Similar	58 Higher	54 Similar	61 Higher			
61 Higher	65 Much	59 Higher	64 Much	53 Similar	60 Higher			
T High Tol	Higher	- ngree	Higher	wii iiki				
58 Higher	59 Higher	54 Similar	57 Higher	51 Similar	57 Higher			
60 Higher	64 Much Higher	56 Higher	61 Higher	51 Similar	59 Higher			
60 Much Highe	63	57	61 s (63 or highe	54	60			

Much Higher = Highest 10% of classes (63 or higher)

Higher = Next 20% (56-62) Similar = Middle 40% (45-55) Lower = Next 20% (38-44)

Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Description of Course and Students

Students described the course by rating three items related to "level of academic challenge." Results cannot be interpreted as "good" or "bad"; in general, these ratings have a slight positive relationship with measures of academic achievement. The three items describing your students relate to their academic motivation and work habits and are key factors in developing adjusted ratings.

Course Description	Your Average (5-point scale)
33. Amount of reading	3.5
34. Amount of work in other (non-reading) assignments	2.9
35. Difficulty of subject matter	3.4

Student Description

37. I worked harder on this course than on most courses I have taken.	3.4
39. I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	3.2
43. As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on academic work.	3.6

Your Converted Average When Compared to Group Averages							
IDEA Database IDEA Discipline					r Institution		
54	Similar	45	Similar	54	Similar		
41	Lower	42	Lower	40	Lower		
49	Similar	49	Similar	51	Similar		

47	Similar	47	Similar	45	Similar
48	Similar	48	Similar	43	Lower
49	Similar	43	Lower	40	Lower

Much Higher = Highest 10% of classes (63 or higher)

Higher = Next 20% (56–62) Similar = Middle 40% (45–55)

Lower = Next 20% (38–44) Much Lower = Lowest 10% (37 or lower)

Improving Teaching Effectiveness

One way to improve teaching effectiveness is to make more use of the teaching methods closely related to learning on specific objectives.

- Review page 2 to identify the objective(s) where improvements are most desirable.
- > Use the first column to answer the question, "Which of the 20 teaching methods are most related to learning on these objective(s)?"
- > Review the next two columns to answer the question, "How did students rate my use of these important methods?"
- > Read the last column to answer the question, "What changes should I consider in my teaching methods?"
- Beyond specific methods, do the results suggest a general area (e.g., Stimulating Student Interest) where improvement efforts should be focused?

Suggested Actions are based on comparisons with ratings for classes of similar size and level of student motivation. Consider increasing use means you employed the method less frequently than those teaching similar classes. Retain current use or consider increasing means you employed the method with typical frequency. Strength to retain means you employed the method more frequently than those teaching similar classes. More detailed suggestions are in the Interpretive Guide (www.theideacenter.org/diagnosticguide.pdf), POD-IDEA Center Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea), and POD-IDEA Center Learning Notes (www.theideacenter.org/podidea/PODNotesLearning.html).

**** POINTERNAMENCE		STORE MAGAINE	
Relevant to Objectives: (see page 2)	Your Average (5–point scale)	Percent of Students Rating 4 or 5	Suggested Action
All selected objectives	4.0	76%	Retain current use or
All selected objectives	4.4	86%	consider increasing Strength to retain
All selected objectives	4.7	95%	Strength to retain
21, 22, 23, 32	4.6	95%	Strength to retain
29, 31, 32	4.2	81%	Strength to retain
27, 31	4.5	90%	Strength to retain
Not relevant to objectives selected	4.1	76%	
All selected objectives	4.6	95%	Strength to retain
23, 27, 29, 31, 32	4.4	86%	Strength to retain
23, 32	4.9	95%	Strength to retain
29	4.6	86%	Strength to retain
29	4.0	67%	Retain current use or consider increasing
27, 29, 31	4.7	90%	Strength to retain
29	4.7	95%	Strength to retain
23	4.4	86%	Strength to retain
21, 22, 23, 27, 32	4.6	90%	Strength to retain
21, 22, 23, 27	4.6	95%	Strength to retain
21, 22	4.8	100%	Strength to retain
Not relevant to objectives selected	4.7	100%	
Not relevant to objectives selected	4.5	90%	
	All selected objectives All selected objectives All selected objectives 21, 22, 23, 32 29, 31, 32 27, 31 Not relevant to objectives selected All selected objectives 23, 27, 29, 31, 32 23, 32 29 29 27, 29, 31 29 21, 22, 23, 27, 32 21, 22, 23, 27 21, 22 Not relevant to objectives selected Not relevant to objectives	(see page 2) (5-point scale) All selected objectives 4.0 All selected objectives 4.7 21, 22, 23, 32 4.6 29, 31, 32 4.2 27, 31 4.5 Not relevant to objectives selected 4.1 All selected objectives 4.6 23, 27, 29, 31, 32 4.9 29 4.6 29 4.0 27, 29, 31 4.7 29 4.7 21, 22, 23, 27, 32 4.6 21, 22, 23, 27, 32 4.6 Not relevant to objectives 4.6 21, 22, 23, 27, 32 4.6 21, 22, 23, 27 4.6 Not relevant to objectives 4.7 Not relevant to objectives 4.7 All selected objectives 4.6	Relevant to Objectives (5-point scale) Students Rating 4 or 5

Statistical Detail	Number Responding							
	1	2	3	4	5	Omit	Avg.	s.d.
Displayed a personal interest in students and their learning	0	0	1	1	19	0	4.9	0.5
2. Found ways to help students answer their own questions	1	0	0	4	16	0	4.6	0.9
3. Scheduled course work (class activities, tests, projects) in ways	0	0	0	7	14	,0	4.7	0.5
4. Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter	1	0	0	4	16	0	4.6	0.9
5. Formed "teams" or "discussion groups" to facilitate learning	1	3	1	5	11	0	4.0	1.3
6. Made it clear how each topic fit into the course	1	0	1	2	17	0	4.6	1.0
7. Explained the reasons for criticisms of students' academic	0	1	2	6	12	0	4.4	0.9
8. Stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by	1	1	1	3	15	0	4.4	1.1
9. Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g. data banks,	0	0	1	4	16	0	4.7	0.6
10. Explained course material clearly and concisely	0	1	0	6	14	0	4.6	0.7
11. Related course material to real life situations	1	0	2	5	13	0	4.4	1.0
12. Gave tests, projects, etc. that covered the most important points			0	4	17	0	4.8	0.4
13. Introduced stimulating ideas about the subject	1	0	0	3	17	0	4.7	0.9
14. Involved students in "hands on" projects such as research, case	1	2	4	3	11	0	4.0	1.3
15. Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really	1	2	2	7	9	0	4.0	1.2
16. Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others	1	1	0	3	16	0	4.5	1.1
17. Provided timely and frequent feedback on tests, reports,	1	0	1	4	15	0	4.5	1.0
18. Asked students to help each other understand ideas or concepts	1	2	1	4	13	0	4.2	1.2
19. Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or	0	0	2	3	16	0	4.7	0.7
20. Encouraged student-faculty interaction outside of class (office	0	1	2	2	16	0	4.6	0.9
Key: 1 = Hardly Ever 2 = Occasionally 3 = Sometimes 4 = Fre	Frequently 5 = Almost Always							

The details on this page are of interest primarily to those who want to confirm scores reported on pages 1–3 or who want to determine if responses to some items were distributed in an unusual manner.

Converted Averages are reported only for relevant learning objectives (Important or Essential –see page 2) and other items for which comparisons were provided.

Notes:

Discipline code selected on FIF: 3900 Discipline code used for comparison: 3900

									Converted Avg.		Comparison Group Average		
					,				Raw	Adj.	IDEA	Discipline	Institution
21. Gaining factual knowledge (terminology,	0	0	2	5	14	0	4.6	0.7	61	64	4.0	4.3	4.3
22. Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or	0	0	1	5	15	0	4.7	0.6	65	68	3.9	4.2	4.3
23. Learning to apply course material (to improve thinking,	1	0	1	5	14	0	4.5	1.0	60	63	4.0	4.2	4.4
24. Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view	1	0	3	4	13	0	4.3	1.1	NA	NA	4.0	4.2	4.4
25. Acquiring skills in working with others as a member of a team	1	4	2	6	8	0	3.8	1.3	NA	NA	3.9	3.9	4.2
26. Developing creative capacities (writing, inventing, designing,	1	1	6	4	9	0	3.9	1.2	NA	NA	3.9	3.7	NA
27. Gaining a broader understanding and appreciation of	1	0	4	7	9	0	4.1	1.0	56	57	3.7	3.9	3.8
28. Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing	1	1	6	4	9	0	3.9	1.2	NA	NA	3.8	3.9	4.1
29. Learning how to find and use resources for answering	0	1	2	6	12	0	4.4	0.9	61	65	3.7	3.9	4.2
30. Developing a clearer understanding of, and commitment to,	1	0	5	3	12	0	4.2	1.1	NA	NA	3.8	4.2	4.2
31. Learning to analyze and critically evaluate ideas,	0	1	3	6	11	0	4.3	0.9	58	59	3.8	4.1	4.2
32. Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking my		0	2	6	12	0	4.3	1.0	60	64	3.8	4.1	4.2
Key: 1 = No apparent progress 2 = Slight progress 3 = Moderate prog	ress	s 4 = Substantial progress 5 = Exceptional progress Bold = Selected as Important or Essen					t or Essential						
33. Amount of reading	0	1	11	7	2	0	3.5	0.7	54	NA	3.2	3.7	3.2
34. Amount of work in other (non-reading) assignments	1	4	13	2	1	0	2.9	0.8	41	NA	3.4	3.3	3.4
35. Difficulty of subject matter	0	1	13	5	2	0	3.4	0.7	49	NA	3.4	3.4	3.3
Key: 1 = Much Less than Most 2 = Less than Most 3 = About Ave	rage	4 = N	Iore tha	an Mos	it 5:	= Much	More tha	n Most	•	•	•	•	
36. I had a strong desire to take this course.	2	4	5	3	7	0	3.4	1.4	NA	NA	3.7	3.8	4.0
37. I worked harder on this course than on most courses I have taken.	0	4	8	6	3	0	3.4	1.0	47	NA	3.6	3.5	3.7
38. I really wanted to take a course from this instructor.	1	3	10	2	5	0	3.3	1.2	NA	NA	3.4	3.7	3.6
39. I really wanted to take this course regardless of who taught it.	1	6	5	5	4	0	3.2	1.2	48	NA	3.3	3.3	3.7
40. As a result of taking this course, I have more positive feelings	1	1	5	4	10	0	4.0	1.2	52	54	3.9	4.1	4.3
41. Overall, I rate this instructor an excellent teacher.	0	1	0	1	19	0	4.8	0.7	60	61	4.2	4.4	4.3
42. Overall, I rate this course as excellent.	1	0	2	7	11	0	4.3	1.0	56	59	3.9	4.2	4.2
43. As a rule, I put forth more effort than other students on	0	3	6	7	4	1	3.6	1.0	49	NA	3.6	3.8	4.0
Key: 1 = Definitely False 2 = More False than True 3 = In Betwee	ın 4	= More	True 1	' han Fa	ilse	, 5 = De	i finitely Tr	ue	•	•	'	•	•

No Additional Questions.

December 13, 2013 3101

IDEA Student Ratings of Instruction

Myatt, William
THEO 100-027
Intro Christian Theology
Loyola University Chicago
11-23-2013 -12-07-2013



- Great teacher. Learned a lot.
- I loved the course. Dr. Myatt is a wonderful professor.
- Dr. Myatt is a phenomenal teacher I would take any other course with his as the professor.
- Great teacher, very positive and knows how to get the students involved. He is very approachable and makes the material easy to understand, which is difficult because theology is such a complex subject matter. I had zero interest in this class ahead of time, but because of Dr. Myatt, this class has been my favorite class this semester.
- He is a great teacher who is extremely approachable and willing to help students. He is very kind and even says "Hi" when I run into him on campus. He genuinely cares about his students and will even ask about extracurricular activities students are involved in. It shows that he cares about his students beyond their classroom life. Though I am not a fan of theology, he made it bearable and easier to understand. Great teacher!
- I was not very interested in taking this class, but I had to because it is a CORE class. However, this teacher made it very interesting and I feel like I learned a lot from this course
- I really enjoyed Dr. Myatt's class. As a non Christian I was a little intimidated by a class centered around Christian theology but Dr. Myatt was extremely open minded and did not make me feel out of place in the class. After taking my theology class with Dr. Myatt my interest in theology and religious studies has significantly increased.
- Thank you for a great semester!
- Professor Myatt is a great teacher. He is fair and has many ways to engage the class in discussion. His lectures are interesting and made me examine my faith and beliefs. I in the future I hope he will teach a Tier 2 course, because I would love to have him as a teacher again.
- Best Professor of my first semester. All of them were great, but he went above and beyond! I wish I could have one of his classes every year. Hopefully I'll have a class with him again soon.

	-		
•			
		·	